EVELLE J. YOUNGER, Attorney General 1 of the State of California 2 KENNETH FREEMAN Deputy Attorney General 3 6000 State Building San Francisco, California 94102 4 Telephone: (415) 557-2881 5 Attorneys for Board of Medical 6 Quality Assurance 7 8 BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE q DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 In the Matter of the Accusation No. D-2153 Against 12 ORDER ADOPTING LAMAR D. DESMUKE, M.D. STIPULATION REGARDING 13 485 Cheney Avenue, #1 Oakland, California DECISION Certificate No. A-21533 14 15 Respondent. 16 17 The attached Stipulation Regarding Decision is accepted 18 and adopted by the Division of Medical Quality of the Board of 19 Medical Quality Assurance, State of California, and shall consti-20 tute the Decision and Order of the Board in this above-captioned 21 matter. 22 The Decision and Order shall become effective on 23 , 1978. July 28-IT IS SO ORDERED ON 24 July 28 , 1978. Division of Medical Quality Board of Fedical Quality Assurance 25 26 State of California 27 28 URT PAPER NE OF GALIFORNIA D. 113 IREV. 0.721 MICHAEL J CARELLA Secretary-Treasurer

EVELLE J. YOUNGER, Attorney General of the State of California 1 2 KENNETH FREEMAN Deputy Attorney General 3 6000 State Building San Francisco, California 94102 4 Telephone No. (415) 557-2821 5 Attorneys for Board of Medical 6 Quality Assurance 8 BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE 9 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against No. D-2153 12 LAMAR D. DESMUKE, M.D. STIPULATION REGARDING 13 485 Cheney Avenue, #1 DECISION Oakland, California 14 Certificate No. A-21533 15 Respondent. 16 17 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Lamar D. Desmuke, 18 M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"), with the advice and consent of 19 his attorney Frank D. Winston, and his psychiatrist, Robert 20 Knight, M.D., and the Division of Medical Quality of the Board of 21 Medical Quality Assurance, State of California (hereinafter the 22 "Division" or "Board"), by and through its attorney, Evelle J. 23 Younger, Attorney General of the State of California, by 24 Kenneth Freeman, Deputy Attorney General, as follows: 25 1. Respondent and his attorney have been served

DURT PAPER ATE OF GALIFORNIA D. 113 (REV. 0.72)

26

27

and pending in Case No. D-2153 before the Division.

with, and have read, the Accusation which is presently on file

2. Respondent understands that the nature of the charges alleged in said Accusation constitute the basis for this disciplinary proceeding against his certificate to act as a physician and surgeon in the State of California.

- 3. Fobert Rowland is the Executive Director of the Board and made the charges and allegations set forth in said Accusation in his official capacity and not otherwise.
- 4. That on or about May 25, 1965, respondent was issued by the Board, physician and surgeon certificate number A-21533. Said certificate was in full force and effect at all times mentioned herein.
- 5. Respondent admits the truth of each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 3, 4 and 5 of said Accusation.
- 6. Respondent admits the truth of each and very
 6 and 6(a) 6(c) and 6(e) 6(g)
 allegation set forth in paragraphs/ of said Accusation. Respondent further admits that said conduct as so admitted is
 unprofessional conduct in violation of section 2399.5 of the
 Business and Professions Code; and constitutes grounds for
 disciplinary action against him pursuant to section 2361
 of said Code.
- 7. Respondent admits the truth of each and every allegation set forth in paragraph 8 of said Accusation.

 Respondent further admits that said conduct as so admitted is unprofessional conduct in violation of sections 2361(b), 2361(c) and 2361(d) of the Business and Professions Code, and each of them; and thereby constitutes grounds for disciplinary action against him pursuant to section 2361 of said Code.

URT PAPER ATE OF CALIFORNIA D. 113 (REV. 0-72

1.

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every allegation set forth in paragraph 12 of said Accusation.

 Respondent further admits that said conduct as so admitted is unprofessional conduct in violation of sections 2361(b) and 2361(d) of the Eusiness and Professions Code, and each of them; and thereby constitutes grounds for disciplinary action against him pursuant to section 2361 of said Code.
- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every allegation set forth in paragraph 15 of said Accusation.

 Respondent further admits that said conduct as so admitted is unprofessional conduct in violation of section 2392 of the Business and Professions Code; and thereby constitutes grounds for disciplinary action against him pursuant to section 2361 of said Code.
- admitted by respondent herein, it is submitted that his actions were not carried out for his personal pecuniary gain. Rather, his mental condition at the time of this conduct was such that he allowed himself to be manipulated by others without exercising his own professional judgment. At the time of this conduct respondent did not recognize that these practices were an improper exercise of his professional responsibility.
- 11. That based upon the foregoing recitals and stipulations, IT IS HEREBY FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the Division may adopt this Stipulation, issue a Decision, and thereby impose a disciplinary order against respondent's certificate No. A-21533 as follows:

DURT PAPER, FATE OF GP LIFORNIA TO, 113 (MEV, 8-7)

QEP

12. RESPONDENT ACKNOWLEDGES that he is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges and allegations set forth in said Accusation; his right to cross-examine witnesses; his right to present evidence in his own behalf both in defense and in mitigation of said charges; and his right to reconsideration, appeal, and any and all other rights which may be accorded him pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act.

Respondent hereby fully and voluntarily waives said rights (except those rights pertaining to reinstatement as accorded him pursuant to Government Code section 11522 and Business and Professions Code section 2376.5) with regard to the Accusation on file in this proceeding numbered D-2153.

mental condition as alluded to in paragraph 10 above, and as has been alleged in proceeding number P-12 before the Division, IT IS HEREBY FURTHER STIPULATED, AGREED, AND ACKNOWLEDGED AS FOLLOWS: Respondent Desmuke has been under the continued professional care and treatment of psychiatrist Robert Knight, M.D., since in or about March of 1978. Respondent has continued under Dr. Knight's care during the pendency of this proceeding number D-2153, and is presently under his care and treatment. The nature of these proceedings have been discussed between respondent and Dr. Knight, as well as with respondent's attorney.

1.3

//

//

OURT PAPER TATE OF CALIFORNIA TD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

Although respondent suffers from mental illness, and requires treatment by Dr. Knight, respondent, at present, is not ill to an extent that he is unable to understand the nature of this proceeding, or to understand the nature and the extent of the disciplinary order to which he is stipulating and agreeing. By executing this Stipulation below, Dr. Knight represents that in his professional opinion, respondent Desmuke's mental condition is such at the present time that respondent is mentally competent to understand the nature of these proceedings, // // // //

-5-

to understand that he is voluntarily agreeing to a revocation of his physician and surgeon certificate No. A-21533, and to understand that he is voluntarily waiving those rights set forth in paragraph 12 above.

Furthermore, this matter has been discussed between respondent and his attorney, who has advised him as to the nature of this proceeding, the rights that are being waived by entering into this Stipulation, and the extent of the disciplinary order that will be imposed. In entering into this Stipulation, respondent does so voluntarily and with the advice and consent of his attorney.

11

13

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

DATED: 5-11-78

14 15

DATED: 6-21-78

16 17

DATED: 6/21/78

18 19

DATED: 6-21-78

SO

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

URT PAPER ATE OF CALIFORNIA D. 113 IREV. 8-72 LAMAR D. DESMOKE, M.D.

Respondent

FRANK D. WINSTON Attorney for Respondent

Attorney for Respondent

ROBERT KNIGHT, M.D. Respondent's Psychiatrist

EVELLE J. YOUNGER Attorney General

KENNETH FREEMAN Deputy Attorney General

Attornove for Board of Medic

Attorneys for Board of Medical Assurance

State of California

REDACTED EVELLE J. YOUNGER, Attorney General of the State of California KENNETH L. FREZMAN Deputy Attorney General 6000 State Building San Francisco, CA 94102 Tel: (415) 557-2881 Attorneys for Petitioner BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation NO. D-2153 11 Against ACCUSATION 12 13 LAMAR D. DESMUKE, M.D. 485 Cheney Avenue, #1 Oakland, California 14 Certificate No. A-21533 15 Respondent. 16 17 ROBERT ROWLAND, complainant, charges and alleges as 18 18 follows: 1. He is the Executive Director of the Board of Medical 20 Quality Assurance, State of California (hereinafter the "Board"), 21 22. and makes these charges and allegations in his official capacity and not otherwise. . 23 2. At all times material herein, respondent Lamar D. 24 Desmuke, M.D., has held physician and surgeon's certificate No. A-21533 issued by the Board. Said certificate was issued on 26 May 25, 1965, and is in good standing at the present time. HIPT PAPLS ATE OF GALIFORN A O 113 MEN 3.72

- 3. Ritalin is a trade name for the generic substance methylphenidate hydrochloride, which substance is a controlled substance as set forth in Schedule II, section 11055(d)(4) of the Health and Safety Code, and a dangerous drug as set forth in section 4211(k) of the Business and Professions Code.
- 4. Seconal is a trade name for the generic substance secobarbital, which substance is a controlled substance as set forth in Schedule III, section 11056(b)(1) of the Health and Safety Code, and a dangerous drug as set forth in sections 4211(a) and 4211(k) of the Business and Professions Code.
- 5. Emperin Compound #4 is a trade name for the generic substance APC with codeine 1 gr., which substance is a controlled substance as set forth in Schedule III, section 11056(d)(2) of the Health and Safety Code, and a dangerous drug as set forth in section 4211(k) of the Business and Professions Code.

FOR A FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

- 6. On or about the dates listed below, respondent did prescribe a controlled substance and/or dangerous drug as listed below to the individuals listed below, without first conducting a good faith examination and without medical indication therefor:
 - (a) February 10, 1977 Sharyn D. 90 Ritalin, 20 mg. 30 Seconal, 100 mg.
 - (b) May 6, 1977 Nancy State 60 Ritalin, 20 mg. 30 Seconal, 100 mg. 30 Emperin Compound #4
 - (c) May 20, 1977 Sharyn D 60 Ritalin, 20 mg. 30 Seconal, 100 mg. 30 Emperin Compound #4

DURT PAPER . ATT OF CALIFORNIA O 110 MEV 4-721

1:2

2.

- (d) June 23, 1977 Judith Manual 60 Ritalin, 20 mg. 30 Seconal, 100 mg.
- (e) July 7, 1977 Nancy State 60 Ritalin, 20 mg. 30 Seconal 100 mg.
- (f) July 25, 1977 Sharyn D 60 Ritalin, 20 mg.
- (a) August 30, 1977 Sharyn D. 60 Ritalin, 20 mg.

7. The conduct of respondent as alleged above in paragraph 6, and 6(a) through 6(g), inclusive, is unprofessional conduct in violation of section 2399.5 of the Business and Professions Code, and thereby constitutes grounds for disciplinary action against respondent pursuant to section 2361 of the Business and Professions Code.

FOR A SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

- 8. From in or about March of 1977 to in or about March of 1978, respondent treated individuals for the alleged condition of alcoholism and/or narcolepsy associated with alcoholism by prescribing the controlled substance and/or dangerous drug Ritalin 20 mg. For the period from in or about December 6, 1977, to in or about March 9, 1977, respondent wrote, at least, 2622 prescriptions for 60 tablets of Ritalin, 20 mg. This constitutes an approximate total of, at least, 157,320 dosage units of Ritalin, 20 mg. dispensed during said period of time pursuant to respondent's prescriptions.
- 9. The conduct of respondent as alleged above in paragraph 8 is unprofessional conduct in violation of section 2361(b) of the Business and Professions Code (gross negligence), in that it constitutes an extreme departure from the standards

JRT PAPER TO OF CALIFORNIA 110 JHEV 0.72

3

5

6

S

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

. 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

of modical practice; and thereby constitutes grounds for disciplinary action against respondent pursuant to section 2361 of the Business and Professions Code.

FOR A THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

10. The conduct of respondent as alleged above in paragraph 8 is unprofessional conduct in violation of section 2361(c) of the Business and Professions Code, in that it constitutes repeated similar negligent acts; and thereby constitutes grounds for disciplinary action against respondent pursuant to section 2361 of the Business and Professions Code.

FOR A FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

11. The conduct of respondent as alleged above in paragraph 8 is unprofessional conduct in violation of section 2361(d) of the Business and Professions Code (incompetence), in that it evidences a lack of ability or knowledge in discharging professional medical obligations; and thereby constitutes grounds for disciplinary action against respondent pursuant to section 2361 of the Business and Professions Code.

FOR A FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

12. Each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 6 and 8 are incorporated herein by reference as though set forth at length. Furthermore, in prescribing Ritalin and other controlled substances/dangerous drugs, respondent would issue prescriptions by merely filling in the name and address of the patient on previously prepared stacks of prescription forms, and would allow non-physicians to dictate and datarmine the specific drug and quantity to be prescribed to the patient.

URT PAPER TE OF GALIFGIANA F 113 INCV 8579

1.0

paragraph 12 is unprofessional conduct in violation of section 2361(b) of the Business and Professions Code (gross negligence), in that it constitutes an extreme departure from the standards of medical practice; and thereby constitutes grounds for disciplinary action against respondent pursuant to section 2361 of the Business and Irofessions Code.

FOR A SIXTH GAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

14. The conduct of respondent as alleged above in paragraph 12 is unprofessional conduct in violation of section 2361(d) of the Business and Professions Code (incompetence), in that it evidences a lack of ability or knowledge in discharging professional medical obligations; and thereby constitutes grounds for disciplinary action against respondent pursuant to section 2361 of the Business and Professions Code.

FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

- 15. From in or about March of 1977 to in or about
 September of 1977, respondent did aid and abet unlicensed personnel
 in practicing a system or mode of treating the sick or afflicted
 by having non-licensed personnel (whose names are known to
 respondent but unknown to complainant) perform physical examinations on patients.
- 16. The conduct of respondent as alleged above in paragraph 15 is unprofessional conduct in violation of section 2392 of the Business and Professions Code, and thereby constitutes ///

27

10

11

12

1.3

15

16

.17

18

19

20

21

22

25

24

25

26

///

Light broken To be de Lisabera I til i enav erfor

grounds for disciplinary action against respondent pursuant to section 2361 of the Business and Professions Code. WHEREFORE, complainant prays that a hearing be held, and that thereafter respondent's certificate be revoked or suspended as to each separate and distinct cause alleged herein. 5. DATED: April 7 Executive Director Board of Medical Quality Assurance State of California Complainant

.F:eb